

Farmers' challenges on chili farming in the acid dry land : A case study from Pasir Madang-Bogor Regency, Indonesia

Asma Sembiring^{*}, *Rofik Sinung Basuki*, *Rini Rosliani*, and *Suwarni Tri Rahayu*
Indonesian Vegetables Research Institute, Lembang-Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract. Chili has become a high-value economic commodity in Indonesia. Indonesian chili consumption is increasing every year. In order to fulfill the demand, chili commonly is planted on fertile land. Meanwhile, Indonesia has a vast less fertile land, such as the acid dry land that has not been utilized for a long time. This land can be utilized to plant chili. However, there are many challenges to be faced that need to be solved. The study aims to identify farmers' challenges on chili farming an acid dry land, viewed from social-economic aspects, natural conditions, biology, and land. The study was conducted from April to October 2016 in Pasir Madang, Sukajaya sub-district, Bogor, Indonesia. About 49 chili farmers were involved in the study. Data were analyzed descriptively. The results of the study showed that the farmers planted chili because it was profitable. Area for planting chili commonly less fertile/infertile. To solve the problem, farmers used manure and chemical fertilizers. Meanwhile, challenges faced by the chili farmers were pest and disease attacks. Other obstacles were capital, farmers' knowledge on chili cultivation, and choosing effective and efficient fungicides and insecticides to control the chili pests and diseases.

1 Introduction

Chili is a high-value vegetable commodity that is important both from economic and consumption necessity fulfillment for Indonesian people. From 2015 to 2018, national chili production, consisted of chili and bird's eye chili, increased by 30.45 % or on average 7.61 % per year, from 1,915,154 tons in 2015 to 2,542,358 tons in 2018 [1]. Meanwhile, productivity also rose by about 9.88 % from 2015 to 2018, with an average growth of 2.47% per year.

As an increase in population and income, chili consumption also increases every year. To fulfill the consumption, chili production is needed to be added. All this time, the increase of chili production has been conducted through planting chili on fertile lands. Meanwhile, there is a lot of less fertile land (sub-optimal such as acid dry land in Indonesia widely available [2], achieved 107.36 million hectares [3]. Nevertheless, the land has been not utilized optimally.

^{*} Corresponding author: rangkayoamah@gmail.com

An effort to increase the acid dry land utilization is a necessity to be conducted, such as by planting the land with chili. Nevertheless, there are a lot of obstacles needed to be solved in utilizing the acid-dry land. To understanding the problems, therefore it is needed to identify farmer's challenges in managing chili farming in the acid dry land. Hence, the proper solutions, especially solutions to increase chili production on the land could be found. Farmer's problems are related to the internal social-economic aspects (such as target, risk, natural resource obstacles), external aspects (input-output market, institution), and natural conditions that covers climate (rainfall, temperature) [4][5], plant's pests, and diseases and land [6][7].

The study aims were to identify challenges related to social-economic, natural conditions, biology, and land that is faced by farmers in conducting their chili farming in the acid dry land. Results of the study are expected to overcome farmers' obstacles to increasing chili production in the acid dry land.

2 Research Methods

The study was conducted from April to October 2016 in Pasir Madang, Sukajaya sub-district, Bogor West Java. A location research area was selected based on the following criteria: 1) the traditional chili production area, 2) the kind of soil is a Red-Yellow Podsolik with soil's pH less than 5, and 3) They were available more than 30 chili farmers in the area.

The research method was used *Focus Group Discussion* (FGD) and survey. Eight persons who knew the general picture of the chili farming system in Pasir Madang was involved in FGD. Also, the challenges faced by the farmer in conducting the chili farming. The FGD's results then were used as a basis to arrange a questioner for a formal survey.

Forty-nine chili farmers who were selected purposively were involved in the survey. The respondents were chosen based on the criteria that the respondents had experience in chili planting more than one year and still planting chili at the time of the survey. Data were collected covering: a) social-economic respondent's characteristics: name, age, formal education, main job, side job, size and land area status, families burdens b) chili farming that had been conducted: farming's experience, reasons for running farming, soil fertility and kind of soil that used for chili farming, chili varieties that were used, planting size, challenges on chili farming covering: economic obstacles (farmer's target, land resource's obstacles, labor, and capital, market input and output, institution); natural obstacles (climate, biology such as pests and diseases, and the problem of soil type) c) kinds, number, input, and output prices in chili farming). Data were analyzed descriptively, using a table and rank system.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Respondent's characteristics

The majority of the respondents (66%) were between 41 to 60 years old, and most of them were in elementary school (Table 1). In terms of Chili planting experience, about 64% of respondents had planted chili more than one year and less than ten years.

The main farmers' occupation was HGU tenant farmer, owned by the government. HGU stands for the right to attempt to. Of this, 63% had a side job as labor or small cattleman. Most farmers' chili cultivation area (80%) was under 2000 m² (Table 2). They had planted chili in the study area since 2001.

According to Rahma et al., [8], a higher education person is faster to accept innovation. As most respondents' education was elementary school, these can be categorized as the lower education and indicated as the slow innovation acceptor.

Table 1. Respondent’s characteristics

Characteristics	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
Age (Year) (n=49)		
21 – 30 years old	3	6
31 – 40 years old	10	20
41 – 50 years old	15	31
51 - 60 years old	17	35
61- 70 years old	4	8
Formal education (n=49)		
Not attend the school	7	14
Elementary school	37	76
Junior high school	4	8
Senior high school	1	2
University	0	0
Main jobs (n=49)		
HGU Tenant farmer	48	98
Tenant share farmer	0	0
Sharecroppers	1	2

3.2 Farmers’ reasons for planting chili

Several farmers' reasons for planting chili were profit, habit, the chili price and market demand. In this study, the first reason for farmers planted chili were profitable (34%),

Table 2. Farmers’ reasons for planting chili

Farmers’ reasons	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
a. Profitable compared to other crops	17	34
b. The selling price higher	15	31
c. Market demand	2	4
d. Habit	15	31
Total	49	100

followed by the chili selling price was higher than other vegetables (31%) and habit (35%) (Table 2). The farmers assumed that they got more income by producing chili compared to other crops. This finding is supported by a previous that said chili farming is still profitable [9]. A profit is an ultimate factor that considers by a farmer when deciding to plant certain crops or using technology cultivations [10][11].

3.3 Kinds of chili planted by farmers

The farmers planted two chili varieties; they were bird's eye chili and curly chili. The majority of farmers (88%) were planted curly chili. This type of chili was included TM 99, local, and Bintang Asia. The farmers used TM 99 allegedly it had high productivity and was resistant to several diseases [12]. A few of them also planted a local variety of bird's eye chili, and none has planted the large chili (Table 3). According to farmers, the chili was not suitable planted in their land as it was quickly attacked by *Phytophthora capsici* and died.

Table 3. Kinds of chili planted by farmers

Kinds of chili	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
Bird's eye chili (local, setan)	9	18
Curly chili (TM 99, Local and Bintang Asia)	43	88
A chili (the large chili)	0	0
Total	49	100

3.4 Types of soils that planted chili by farmers

Farmers planted chili in three types of soil color; they were black, brown and reddish. The majority of them planted chili on reddish color soil (67%), followed by brown color. It is perceived as less fertile and infertile land (Table 4).

Table 4. Color and farmer's soil fertilization level that planted chili

Soil color	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
Black	6	12
Brown	10	20
Reddish	33	67
Total	49	100
Soil fertilization		
Fertile	3	7
Less fertile	25	54
infertile	18	39
Total	46	100

Challenges that appeared when planting chili in this land were stunted plants, low productivity, and small fruit. Farmer's land in Pasir Madang Bogor could be grouped as acid dry land with a pH of around 5 and poor nutrients. The soil type contains less P, K, and other nutrients, causing low productivity [13]. A previous study also showed that soil acidity restricted the growth and the production of various crops [14].

To increase the soil fertility, the majority of farmers (88%) gave manure, some of them (about 57%) added chemical fertilizers, and a few of them (8%) used lime as well as manure altogether. Interestingly, none of the farmers specifically used lime for their soil. It happened as they had not got any information or were not aware of the lime benefits of increasing soil pH. Adding lime could increase soil pH, also improve yield and crop quality [15].

3.5 Challenges on chili farming in acid dry land

Among several aspects such as getting land, irrigation, access to facilitation, marketing, capital, controlling pests and diseases and farmers' knowledge on chili cultivation, the respondents had challenges controlling pests and diseases, capital and farmers' knowledge on chili cultivation technology.

3.5.1 Challenges on pests and diseases of chili farming

Farmers recognized several pests and diseases that attacked their chili plant. According to the farmers, the common pests that were often attacking chili and very difficult to be

controlled were fruit flies and Thrips. Meanwhile, a common disease was Anthracnose (Table 5). Those three kinds are the ultimate pests and diseases quite often attacking the chili plant, cause yield losses and degrade the chili yield [16][17][18].

Table 5. Challenges on chili pests and diseases

Kinds of pests	Often attack*		Difficult to control*	
	n	%	n	%
Curly leaf pest	15	31	5	10
Thrips	23	47	17	35
Mites	15	31	11	22
Aphids	15	31	7	14
Fruit flies	30	61	28	57
Worm	10	20	2	4
Total	49	100	49	100

*) The respondents could answer more than one answer

3.5.2 Challenges on the capital of chili farming

Lack of capital often happened to the majority of farmers (71%) during conducted chili farming (Table 6), especially to buy inputs such as pesticides, fertilizer and pay labor. Capital deficiency is a common obstacle that farmers were facing as they got low profit [19]. It also often becomes a challenge for farmers to expand their business [20]. In Indonesia, farmers are small farmers and subsistence, and they are allocated capital from a previous harvest.

Table 6. Challenges on the capital and Farmers' knowledge on chili cultivation technology (n=49)

No	Kinds of challenges	Farmers' opinion	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
1	Capital	a. Yes, often	35	71
		b. Yes, sometimes	13	27
		c. Never	1	2
2	Chili farmer's planting knowledge	a. Less	48	98
		b. Enough	1	2

To solve the problem of capital restriction, the respondents borrow money. However, rather than borrow from the bank or other formal institution finances, they preferred to borrow from their family, neighbours, input traders or selling their cattle. It was because they did not understand a procedure to propose the loan or the procedure to request a loan was complicated [21][22] and needed collateral in which they could not fulfil [23].

3.5.3 Challenges on chili cultivation technology knowledge

Table 6 presented that farmers had obstacles to chili cultivation technology. The majority of respondents (98%) acknowledged that their knowledge to control chili pests and diseases was limited, especially in choosing efficacious fungicides (71%) and insecticides (63%) (Table 7).

Meanwhile, there were no challenges in getting land, irrigation, access to facilitation, and marketing. In terms of land, most respondents (82%) said that there was no obstacle to

getting land for chili planting as they got an arable land quota from a government in the form of a right to cultivate (HGU).

Table 7. Farmers' obstacles on chili cultivation technology

Farmers' challenges	Number of respondents	Percentage (%)
a. Land management	4	8
b. The way to measure kinds and fit dosage for lime	6	12
c. The way to determine kinds and fit dosage for manure	16	33
c. The way to determine kinds and fit dosage for chemical fertilizers	19	39
e. The way to choose efficacious insecticides	31	63
f. The way to choose efficacious fungicides	35	71
g. The best way to spray	13	27
h. others	7	14
Total	49	100

Regarding irrigation, about 59% of respondents stated that there was no obstacle to irrigation. Those who had the obstacle on chili irrigation (12%) said that the problem appeared because they depended on the rain and had no technical irrigation tunnel in their area.

Regarding access to production facilities, the respondents said that their location was relatively accessed by public transportation, connecting it to sub-district towns or other villages. Regarding production facilities, input production kiosks were available in the sub-district, other villages, and the study area. Therefore, most of the respondents (69%) said that no obstacle to access them in terms of production facilities.

The majority of farmers (88%) also stated that they did not have chilli marketing problems. There were many public transportations available in the village toward the sub-district traditional market, even though toward Bogor district traditional market. Besides that, intermediaries often contacted and came to farmers directly to purchase the farmers' yield when harvesting time.

4 Conclusion

To sum up, in developing chili farming on acid dry land, farmers had some challenges in controlling pests and diseases, capital and knowledge on chili cultivation technology. In order to address the challenges, it is needed to introduce knowledge to farmers to choose effective and efficient fungicides and insecticides, precisely to control thrips, fruit flies and anthracnose, as well as to open farmers access to simple and practical funding institutions.

We thank the Indonesian IAARD for funding the research

References

1. Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS), *Statistik Indonesia 2019*, Jakarta, Indonesia, 264 (2019).
2. H. Kuswantoro, BIOTROPIA. **23 (1)** 52 (2016).
3. A. Dariah, N. Heryani, *Jurnal Sumberdaya Lahan*. 1 (2014).
4. A. Mekonnen, A. Tessema, Z. Ganewo, A. Haile, *Journal of Agriculture and Food*

- Research. **6**, 1 (2021)
5. N.B. Holmelin, *Journal of Rural Studies*. **83**, 71 (2021).
 6. J.O. Okonya, W. Ocimati, A. Nduwayezu, D. Kantungeko, N. Niko, G. Blomme, J.P. Legg, J. Kroschel, *Sustainability*. **11**, 1 (2019).
 7. D.O. Pribadi, I. Zasada, K. Mulluer, S. Pauleit, *Journal of Rural Studies*. **55**, 100 (2017).
 8. P.R. Pratiwi, S.I. Santoso, W. Roessali, *Jurnal Agraris*. **4(1)**, 9 (2018)
 9. R. Hartono, H.B. Astuti, *Proceeding International Seminar on Promoting Local Resources for Food and Health*, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia, 524-527 (2016).
 10. R.T. Jatuningyas, D. Prasetianti, J. Triastono, *Proceeding of International Symposia on Horticulture (ISH) 2018*, Indonesian Center for Horticulture Research and Development, Bali, Indonesia, 91-98 (2019).
 11. I.K. Mahaputra, N.N. Arya, J. Rinaldi, *Proceeding of International Symposia on Horticulture (ISH) 2018*, Indonesian Center for Horticulture Research and Development, Bali, Indonesia, 207-213 (2019).
 12. L. Rahayu, D. Febriani, *E3S Web of Conferences*, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 1–11 (2021)
 13. Prihastuti, Sudaryono, *J. Trop Soils*. **18 (1)**, 17 (2013).
 14. A.N. Ganeshamurthy, A.N. Kalaivanan, G.C. Satisha, *Innovations in Horticultural Sciences*, New Delhi, India 559-584 (2016).
 15. T. Ameyu, *Journal of Environment and Earth Sciences*. **9 (2)**, 17 (2019)
 16. A. Johari, S. Herlinda, C. Irsan, Y. Pujiastuti, *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*. **11 (3)**, 103 (2016).
 17. F. Jamaluddin, A. Nurriaty, N. Amin, *Earth and Environmental Science* 486, 1-7 (2020).
 18. R.R. Manda, G. Pavithra, V.A. Addauki, S. Srivastava, *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. **9 (11)**, 749 (2020).
 19. Amanullah, G.R. Lakhan, S.A. Channa, H. Magsi, M.A. Koondher, J. Wang, N.A. Channa, *Heliyon*. **6**, 1 (2020).
 20. A. Sembiring, R.R. Murtiningsih, Kusmana, *Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development Research*. **7 (1)**, 78 (2021)
 21. A.A. Rudiyanto, *Journal of Economics and Policy*. **7 (2)**, 175 (2014).
 22. A. Jamal, S.S. Mazhar, *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*. **6 (1)**, 144 (2019).
 23. J. Mariyono, *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economics*. **11 (1)**, 98 (2018).