Effect of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) concentration in the gas feed on Carbon Dioxide Absorption Performance using Aqueous Potassium Carbonate promoted with Glycine

Nur Farhana Ajua Mustafa¹, Azmi Mohd Shariff *¹, WeeHorng Tay¹, Siti Munirah Mhd Yusof¹

¹CO₂ Research Centre(CO2RES), Chemical Engineering Department, Institute Contaminant Management (ICM) Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, 32610 Seri Iskandar Perak, Malaysia

Abstract. This paper presented the effect of CO₂ concentration in the gas feed to the CO₂ absorption performance using a green solvent, potassium carbonate promoted with glycine (PCGLY). Recently, the performance of this solvent (with precipitates) was hindered by its poor mass transfer performance due to the blockage in packings and piping. Therefore, this study focused to study the CO₂ absorption performance of non-precipitating potassium carbonate promoted with glycine. This green solvent contains aqueous blend of 15wt% potassium carbonate and 3 wt% glycine. The absorption performance of the solvent was obtained by demonstrated a few experimental works using a bench scale packed absorption column. The packing type was Sulzer metal gauze and the column consisted of six sampling point which located equidistance along the packing. The effect of CO₂ concentration at the gas feed was assessed in term of its CO₂ removal as CO₂ inlet concentration in the gas feed increases. The reason of this behavior is due to the limited reactant of liquid phase to absorb high CO₂ concentration in gas phase. The main outcome of this study demonstrated the efficient absorption which can absorb up to 79.24 % of CO₂ from natural gas using non-precipitated PCGLY.

1 INTRODUCTION

As yet, the interest to explore undeveloped natural gas resources is tempered by the risk to encounter with high non-hydrocarbon concentration which reduces the gas heating value and increases corrosion risk to the pipeline [1]. Literally, the allowable CO_2 content in natural gas in subsea gas pipeline to the onshore processing plant should be in between 1-2% or in some cases can reach at most 5% [2]. Hence, an effective system must be installed at the offshore to remove bulk CO_2 concentration directly from the gas reservoir.

Among of commercialized CO_2 separation technology, chemical absorption separation process has gain the most attention in this project as it is a mature technology that has been commonly adopted due its high efficiency and requires low cost [3-5]. Aqueous amine – based solvent is the common type of chemical solvent and has been commercialized for many decades in CO_2 removal process [6-8].

Typical amine-based solvent includes MEA, DEA, MDEA and AMP [9]. However, its high energy penalty for amine regeneration and solvent degradation are the impeding issues for large scale implementation of this technology [10]. Moreover, aqueous amine-based solvent is corrosive to equipment and its degradation may turn into nitrosamines and nitramines which are hazardous to environment and human health [3, 11]. Therefore, the use of aqueous amine-based solvent in chemical absorption CO_2 removal system might be not suitable for offshore condition.

The development of environment friendly solvent with high solubility and less degradation rate have been the emerging research trending for an effective CO₂ capture with low environmental impact. Shaikh et al. [12] had reported the solubility of a new solvent blend known as aqueous potassium carbonate promoted with glycine (PCGLY) which is found to be comparable with conventional solvents. It possesses several advantages over amine-based solvent including less toxicity, non-degradation, and require lower regeneration energy [13]. The characteristics of PCGLY are suitable for offshore condition and provides motivation for the insight study in term of its CO2 removal efficiency and mass transfer performance at continuous system of packed absorption system [5].

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1. Chemicals

The chemicals used in this experiment were potassium carbonate (PC) with 99.95% purity and glycine (GLY) with 99% purity. Both chemicals were bought from Avantis Supply Sdn. Bhd. Purified CO₂ were purchased from Air Product Sdn. Bhd. NG with 97% CH₄, 2% CO₂ and 1% heavier hydrocarbon was purchased from PETRONAS NGV Sdn. Bhd. All materials were used as received without further purification.

2.2 Experimental procedure

 CO_2 and NG were separately heated by a heat exchanger to 343 K. This to avoid the gas hydration due to the sudden pressure drop from the gas cylinder to 0.7 MPa at the inlet of gas heat exchanger. Then, the inlet CO_2 and NG concentrations were set by a mass flow controller. CO_2 and NG were mixed by gas mixer. The mixed gas was compressed into a highpressure vessel and flowed into an absorption packed column until the desired operating pressure was reached. Pressure of the absorption packed column was maintained by a back-pressure regulator.

 CO_2 and NG mixed gas was injected from the bottom part of the column at the respective gas flow rate. The gas flow rate was controlled by a gas flow controller. Meanwhile, a well-mixed PCGLY was pumped into the top of the column. The liquid flow rate was controlled by a liquid flow controller, which was equipped with a back-pressure regulator. The pressure of the gas in high pressure gas vessel was monitored to be at least 0.1 MPa above the column pressure to ensure that the gas can flow into the column.

The absorption performance experiments were performed within 1 hour and 30 minutes until the CO_2 concentration was stable. It indicated that steady state conditions at each sampling points were reached. Then, CO_2 concentration along the sampling point was measured by using an infrared (IR) analyser.

2.3 Evaluation of CO₂ removal efficiency

CO₂ removal efficiency was calculated as in (1) [14]:

$$CO_2$$
 removal efficiency $= \frac{y_b - y_a}{y_b}$ (1)

Where, y_b (mol/mol) is the CO₂ mole fraction at the inlet of the column and y_a (mol/mol) is the CO₂ mole fraction at the last stage of packing.

3 Results and discussions

The study on the effect of inlet CO_2 concentration on CO_2 removal efficiency is important as it will provide a basis prior CO_2 sweetening process for CO_2 -rich gas. Therefore, a set of experiments were conducted over 15 – 30 mol. % of CO_2 in NG with 4.04 MPa of operational pressures, 0.20 l/min of liquid flow rates, 1.50 kg/h of gas flow rate and 333 K of liquid inlet temperature.

3.1. CO₂ concentration profile along the packed absorption column

Figure 1 shows the CO_2 concentration profile across the height of the column at operational pressure range between 15 to 30 mol%. It is observed that lower CO_2 removal was acquired as the CO_2 concentration in NG increases. This behaviour may be caused by an increase of total CO2 mole flow rate in gas phase which resultantly decrease of L/G molar ratio. As L/G molar ratio decrease, there would be less contact between the liquid and gas phase in the column [15].In turn, more CO_2 reduction can be observed.



Figure 1: CO_2 concentration profile at various CO_2 concentration in the feed

3.1. Effect of CO₂ concentration in the gas feed on CO₂ removal efficiency

The effect of CO_2 concentration in the gas feed on CO_2 removal efficiency is presented as Figure 2.



Figure 2: CO_2 removal efficiency over various CO_2 concentrations in the gas feed

As presented in Figure 2, CO₂ removal efficiency decrease from 79.24 to 65.79 % to as the CO₂ concentration in the gas feed was increased from 15 mol% to 30 mol%. At constant operational pressure, an increase of CO₂ inlet concentration would result to higher CO₂ partial pressure which would allow more CO₂ molecules to travel from gas bulk to the gasliquid interface CO₂ concentration at the interphase [16]. This would enhance the mole fraction driving force for separation [17]. However, for this case, the effect of CO₂ concentration on the increase of CO₂ partial pressure is not significant. With operating pressure of 4.04 MPa, an increase of CO₂ inlet from 15 to 30 mol %, would only slightly increase CO₂ partial pressure by 1.2 MPa. Therefore, the main reason of this behaviour is due to the limited reactant of liquid phase to absorb high CO₂ concentration in gas phase. The results are correspond as reported by Hairul et al. [15].

4 Conclusion

The effect of operating parameter specifically of CO₂ concentration in the gas feed on CO₂ absorption was studied using a bench scale packed absorption column at 4.0 MPa with 0.2 lpm of liquid flow rates, 1.5 kg/h gas flow rate and 333K solvent temperature. CO₂ removal efficiency was studied at steady state where the system in equilibrium condition. The study shows the decreasing trend of CO₂ removal from 79.24 to 65.79 % as CO₂ concentration in the feed increases. The reason of this behavior is due to the limited reactant of liquid phase to absorb high CO2 concentration in gas phase. The main outcome of this study demonstrated the efficient absorption which can absorb up to 79.24 % of CO₂ from natural gas using non-precipitating potassium carbonate promoted with glycine

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge CO_2 Research Center for providing equipment for research works. Financial assistance was provided by Fundamental Research Grant Scheme, FRGS project funded by MOHE. (Reference number: FRGS/1/2017/TKO2/UTP/01/1, Cost center : 0153 AB-L69)

References

- Safari, M., A. Ghanizadeh, and M.M. Montazer-Rahmati, Optimization of membrane-based CO2-removal from natural gas using simple models considering both pressure and temperature effects. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2009. 3(1): p. 3-10.
- Ma'mun, S., et al., Selection of new absorbents for carbon dioxide capture. Energy Conversion and Management, 2007. 48(1): p. 251-258.
- Leung, D.Y., G. Caramanna, and M.M. Maroto-Valer, An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. 39: p. 426-443.
- 4. Baird, M., Solvent extraction—the challenges of a "mature" technology. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 1991. **69**(6): p. 1287-1301.
- Mustafa, N.F.A., et al., Mass Transfer Performance Study for CO₂ Absorption into Non-Precipitated Potassium Carbonate Promoted with Glycine Using Packed Absorption Column. Sustainability, 2020. 12(9): p. 3873.
- 6. Chinn, D., et al., *CO2 removal from* gas using ionic liquid absorbents. 2005, Google Patents.
- Yu, C.-H., C.-H. Huang, and C.-S. Tan, *A review of CO2 capture by absorption and adsorption*. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 2012. 12(5): p. 745-769.
- 8. Kothandaraman, A., et al., *Comparison of solvents for post combustion capture of CO₂ by chemical absorption.* Energy Procedia, 2009. 1(1): p. 1373-1380.
- 9. Chowdhury, F.A., et al., *Synthesis and selection of hindered new amine*

absorbents for CO2 capture. Energy Procedia, 2011. 4: p. 201-208.

- Vega, F., et al., Degradation of amine-based solvents in CO2 capture process by chemical absorption. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology, 2014. 4(6): p. 707-733.
- 11. da Silva, C.F., et al., Intercalation of amines into layered calcium phosphate and their new behavior for copper retention from ethanolic solution. 2013.
- 12. Shaikh, M.S., et al., *Study of CO*₂ *Solubility in Aqueous Blend of Potassium Carbonate Promoted with Glycine*. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2014. **625**: p. 19-23.
- Shuaib, S., et al., *Physical properties* of aqueous solutions of potassium carbonate + glycine as a solvent for carbon dioxide removal. Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society, 2014. 79(6): p. 719-727.
- 14. Mustafa, N.F.A., et al., CO2 removal efficiency from natural gas at elevated pressure of packed absorption column using potassium carbonate promoted with glycine. Science Proceedings Series, 2019. 1(2): p. 55-57.
- 15. Hairul, N.A.H., A.M. Shariff, and M.A. Bustam, Mass transfer performance of 2-amino-2-methyl-1propanol and piperazine promoted 2amino-2-methyl-1-propanol blended solvent in high pressure CO2 absorption. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2016. **49**: p. 121-127.
- Zeng, Q., et al., Mass transfer coefficients for CO₂ absorption into aqueous ammonia solution using a packed column. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2011. 50(17): p. 10168-10175.
- 17. Hairul, N., A. Shariff, and M. Bustam, *Process behaviour in a* packed absorption column for high pressure CO2 absorption from natural gas using PZ+ AMP blended

solution. Fuel Processing Technology, 2017. **157**: p. 20-28.