Integrated reservoir characterization based on a comprehensive study of the geological structure using 3D seismic survey

A.K. Zhumabekov[1], *V.S.* Portnov[1], and *L.* Zhen[2]

[1]Karaganda State Technical University, Kazakhstan
[2]State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resource and Prospecting in China University of Petroleum Beijing, P.R. China

Abstract: The 3D seismic survey is the undisputed leader among tools of identifying potential exploration targets and reservoir characterization. This paper shows surveys that are crucial in the exploration and development of significant amounts of hydrocarbon resources, and enables operator companies to map complex geological structures and select better drilling locations. The purpose of the research is to have better understandings of formations and update previous studies in the oil field of Mangyshlak Basin, Western Kazakhstan. The Main results are the acoustic impedance, Vp/Vs ratio, lithological and reservoir properties data. The quality controls and analysis of results show a good match with well logs and good recovery of seismic signal in inversion, but it should be improved in some areas. The results, from a scientific point of view, expand the already known geological and geophysical studies of the reservoir and improve the quality of interpretation using seismic methods in studying the sedimentation environment.

1. Introduction

The main tool for the construction of structural geomodel is a static and flow simulation dynamic model of the reservoir. The former used the static geological model mainly based on the borehole data, combined with the production dynamic analysis, to determine the illustration of subsurface targets, rarely using the seismic data [1]. Although, it is difficult to control the change of the geological model in terms of dynamic point of view [2], resulting in the configuration of properties and prediction results are not ideal. Consequently, the new data integration between geophysical and geological campaign are necessary. Reservoir characterization is made by correlating seismic properties [3] obtained with values measured in wells and extended wells [4]. It's a process that helps fill our knowledge gaps of properties between wells. The given reservoir characterization technique uses the following procedure: 1. Conducting detailed studying of oil properties; 2. Investigation of WOC water oil contact areas; 3. Compilation of WOC in target horizons; 4. Perform analysis of sedimentation conditions based on updated detailed logging and 3D seismic data; 5. Creating and summaries of reservoirs with details in reservoir characterization. Evaluation of the exploration and development efficiency. Through the

petrophysical work and analysis of structural geology study [5,6] can help us to incorporate prior knowledge of the earth model and retrieve much of the information hidden within the seismic data. Every reflection changes the information because of the amplitude change of received wave. The property controlling this change produces at the interface with impedance contrast. The information of seismic reflection amplitude can be used for an inversion to determine the relative impedance of the reservoir media with appropriate properties [7,8].

2. Oil properties

According to the results of statistics from previous years [9], when studying the properties of oil by productive horizons in Jurassic deposits at the field, many oil samples from different intervals throughout the entire section of the drilled wells were used.

Based on the results of the analysis, it is clear that the properties of oil within the deposits are characterized by regular variability with increasing depth.

In the upper parts of the deposits, the saturation pressure, gas factor and volumetric coefficient are the most significant, while the density and viscosity of oil are the lowest.

In the edge parts of the deposits, the gas factor and the volumetric coefficient of oil decrease, while the density and viscosity of the oil increase and the properties of the oil deteriorate.

The properties of oil in different layers naturally change with increasing depth: from bottom to top, the saturation pressure, gas factor and volume factor gradually increase, while the saturation pressure changes within 15.7~22.3 MPa, the gas factor ranges from 76~161 m^3/m^3, the volumetric coefficient varies between 1.22~1.41, and the density and viscosity of the oil gradually decrease with increasing depth.

3. Analysis and re-check of (WOC) water-oil contact

Since long-term development carried out in the study area using water flooding, which leads to a very complex oil and gas complex, oil and gas complex, and mineral water treatment in this field, the result is a significant amount of work.

History data and previous studies include calculation of reserves [10], based on a structural interpretation within this area of work; the results of testing and trial operation; the results of re-checking the interpretation of logging data; the dynamics scope of operation. This work useful to re-verify water-oil contact WOC and gas-oil contact GOC on the main objects of development.

At the field, 11 development objects are divided in detail into 43 sublayers; 59 fluid contacts were identified, of which 1 gas-water contact GWC, 18 GOC, 40 WOC. Because of the analysis, new data on WOC in layers 6, 11 obtained. Horizon 11 is the most representative example to work with it.

4. Structural and WOC analysis of horizon 11

According to the previous year's reserves calculation data, it was noted that WOC in the western part of the sublayer B in the horizon 11 is in the range -2158.0–2167.0 m, in the central part it is in the range of -2154.0–2216.0 m. The difference in WOC is about 60m. It is not yet clear, the reason that leads to this phenomenon. During this work, it is necessary to find relevant evidence from the perspective of seismic, collectors, and operational dynamics to explain this event.

Initially, using the results of the interpretation of logging data and testing, the WOC substantiated. This layer is divided into 4 zones having 4 independent oil and water systems. It is also noted that in the central and eastern parts of the field, the difference in the oil and gas concentration is about 60 m. Secondly, according to the results of structural constructions [11]. It is seen that from the central part to the east, the amplitude of the structural background decreases, while in the eastern part the low-amplitude rise disappears (Figure 1).


Fig. 1. Structural map of sublayer B within the productive horizon 11.

Summarize analyses showed the changes in lithology in the central and east of the site, because of which different oil and gas deposits appeared [12]. This leads to different levels of oil-gas concentration. The section of stratigraphic correlation in the west-east direction has a noticeable change in lithology [13] is observed between the east and central parts in the sublayers. In particular, in the central part noted that possible channel sandstones are developing; in the east, in this pack channel sandstones also developed, which are characterized by sandstones with thin clays. The central part, areas of wells show a lithological substitution zone. Lithology is represented by mudstones with thin interlayers of sandstones. This proves that a remarkable lithological substitution occurred between the east and central parts, which led to a change in lithology, sand bodies not communicated over the area. Besides, from the stratigraphic correlation along the meridional direction, near the lithological substitution zone, mudstones with thin interlayers of sandstones prevail in terms of lithology, therefore, sand bodies in underwater-branched channels developed in the east and central part; between them are deposits on a branched bay.

The seismic attribute [14,15] in the area also reflects well the characteristic of lithological variability [16]. According to the Vp/Vs attribute in the B formation of the area, the central and eastern parts with a high attribute anomaly expressed by "red" tones, respectively, "blue" tones are present between the eastern and central parts, which is, in its turn, a zone of lithological variability. This significantly coincides with the drilling data (Figure 2), therefore, using the Vp/Vs attributes in terms of area, it is possible to describe

the zone of lithological variability, which provides the prerequisites for the analysis of WOC [17].

**Fig. 2.** Vp / Vs attribute map by area along the productive horizon 11.

As a result of the above complex analysis, the oil deposits in pack B are divided into 4 zones by area, of which they are very different in the central and eastern parts of the WOC, and the oil deposits in the central part (areas of wells 2~3) are mainly limited by structures. Oil deposits in the eastern part (areas of well 4~5) are mainly controlled by lithology and structures (Figure 3).

Fig. 3. Section of deposits in the sublayer B of the productive horizon 11.

5. Reservoir characterization by classification

Using the sections of deposits and distribution maps of oil and gas regions, we analyzed the features of 11 oil-bearing objects (Figure 4).

Fig. 4. Section of the oil reservoirs along the certain direction

Based on the data on reserves calculation, under the interpretation of the structure, results of testing and trial operation, and comprehensive analysis of the distribution of oil reservoirs [18], it is believed that reservoirs are difficult to distribute at the field, and heterogeneity is intense. In general, they are intact multilayer lithological structural deposits with marginal and plantar water; the relationship between oil and water is complex.

In horizon 11, the sections between oil, gas and water are complex, which allows distinguishing 11 systems of oil, gas and water. From the interpretation of the logging data and seismic data, it was noted that the A pack is an oil and gas bearing deposit, while the GOC is -2116.0 m, the WOC ranges from -2121.0 ~ -2136.0 m. Sublayers A is an oil and gas bearing reservoir, with a GOC of -2121.0 m and a GOC of -2132.0 ~ -2145.0. Pack A is a reservoir with a gas cap and circulating water. B1–3 pack is an oil and gas reservoir, which has a GOC of -2133.0 m, a WOC ranges from -2139.0 ~ -2162.0 m (in the western part) and 2136.0 ~ -2165.0 m (in the eastern part). The 4–5 pack is a reservoir; lithological and structural oil and gas reservoir, fluid sections in the field are complex.

According to the study [19,20], it was noted that lithological variability probably occurred in the central and eastern parts. Besides, a lithological change has also been displayed in the northern part, resulting in the formation of oil and gas deposits with different oil and water systems, which, accordingly, leads to different oil and gas concentrations. The research area divided into 4 zones, in turn -2158.0 ~ -2167.0 m (the west part); -2186 m (the central north part); -2154.0 ~ -2167.0 m (in the central part); 2216.0 m (the east part).

6. Conclusions

The implementation of 3D seismic data by the method of quantitative interpretation is holistic and depends both on the quality of the input data and on the elastic properties of the rocks. Nevertheless, the quantitative involvement of deep trends in the interpretation of seismic data significantly enhances prediction validity and diminishes the uncertainty of the results of a comprehensive characterization of the reservoir.

1. Seismic Reservoir characterization data led to having detailed structural construction, the tectonic model of the research area.

2. A comprehensive study of seismic and geological data showed that the deposit is mainly of the delta type, and the reservoirs are sand bodies of the river and branched-channel genesis, while the thickness of the sandstones varies sharply in the area and the reservoir connectivity in the lateral complex.

3. The main target horizons of the deposit are a structural type deposit, which determines the distribution of oil, water and gas. However, it is necessary to take into account the influence of the quality and type of reservoir on the prevalence of hydrocarbons and the flow rate of each well.

4. According to the reservoir analysis, it is clear that the properties of oil within the deposits are characterized by regular variability with increasing depth.

5. The Oil field has the potential to expand the border; recommendations made for the development of the field. The low-amplitude trap at the edge of the area appears to be the next potential area. It is necessary to drill new wells for the most rapid development of field reserves.

References

1. S. Chopra, K. J. Marfurt Churning Seismic Attributes with Principal Component Analysis. *SEG Annual Meeting*, 2672–2676 (2014)

2. R. Ibragimov, A. Ovchinnikov, D. Burdakov, A. Romantsov, S. Sterlyagova, B. Darmaev, S. Zimin Geology Driven History Match of Eastern Siberian Halite Cemented Fluvial Reservoir. *SPE Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition & Conference Abu Dhabi, UAE*, (2019)

3. N. E. Zabihi Quantitative Interpretation Using Facies Based Seismic Inversion. *SEG International Exposition and 86th Annual Meeting, Dallas, Texas, USA*, (2016)

4. Y. P. Ampilov From seismic interpretation to modeling and evaluation of oil and gas fields. *Geoinformmark (In Russian)*, (2008)

5. M. P. Coward Structural Geology in Reservoir Characterization. *Geological Society of America*, (1998)

6. M. Bacon, R. Simm, T. Redshaw 3-D Seismic Interpretation. *Cambridge University Press*, (2007)

7. B. A. Spassky, I. Y. Gerasimova Seismostratigraphy (In Russian). (Perm: Perm University, 2007)

8. B. S. Hart Whither seismic stratigraphy?. *Interpretation SEG: SA3-SA20*, (2013)

9. V. Soloviev, V. Gelman, V. Paduchih, V. Cherenov, B. Solntsev Geological Structure and Oil and Gas Potential of the Platform Part of the Caspian Sea. *Neftegaz.ru. (In Russian)*, (2017)

10. S.P. Muruthy, D.P. Ghosh Integration of Seismic Attributes, Petrophysics, and Rock Physics for Depositional Environment and Facies Characterization. *Conference Proceedings, EAGE-HAGI 1st Asia Pacific Meeting, Near Surface Geoscience and Engineering*, 1-5 (2018)

11. A. Rabinovich Features of the geological structure and prospects for the exploration of new oil and gas deposits in the Mesozoic deposits of the Mangyshlak basin. *KAZENERGY (In Russian)*, **4**, (2006)

12. L. Cao, Y. Xu, J. Yu Facies Analysis with Merged 3D Seismic Data. *SEG Annual Meeting, Houston, USA*, (2005)

13. J. Wu, D. Zhou, D. Lv, C. Niu, D. He, G. Li, X. Wang Structural interpretation of inner buried hill under restricted data availability - A case study in KL-X area, Bohai Bay Basin. *Technical program SEG Denver Expanded Abstracts*, 1689-1693 (2014)

14. S. Chopra, K. J. Marfurt Seismic Attributes for Prospect Identification and Reservoir Characterization. *SEG Society of Exploration Geophysicists*, (2007)

15. K. J. Marfurt Seismic Attribute Mapping of Structure and Stratigraphy. *SEG, EAGE*, (2006)

16. L. A. Uspensky, D. S. Kucheryavenko, M. Y. Romanenko, D. V. Emelyanov, A. P. Kulik Assessment of the influence of changes in reservoir properties and lithological composition of rocks on the elastic parameters of the medium using the example of the Urnensky field. *Geophysics (In Russian)*, **1**, (2012)

17. M. Kennedy Practical Petrophysics. *Developments in Petroleum Science*, **62**, (Elsevier, 2015)

18. F. J. Lucia Carbonate Reservoir Characterization an Integrated Approach. *Springer*, (2007)

19. P. Avseth, T. Mukerji, G. Mavko Quantitative seismic interpretation. Applying Rock Physics Tools to Reduce interpretation Risk. *Cambridge University Press*, (2005)

20. L. Vernik Seismic Petrophysics in Quantitative Interpretation. *SEG Society of Exploration Geophysicists*, (2016)