Agri-food market of the region: strategic analysis and development prospects

Anzor Gyatov^{1,*}, Zarina Soskiyeva², Madina Marzhokhova¹, Anzor Shardanov¹, and Oksana Bagova¹

¹Kabardino - Balkarian State Agricultural University named after V.M. Kokov, Lenin Avenue, 1V, 360030 Nalchik, Russia

²Gorsky State Agrarian University, Vladikavkaz, Russia

Abstract. The agri-food market is distinguished by a high degree of dependence on external factors, such as climatic and weather conditions, uneven supply and demand for agricultural products due to the seasonality of production, which explains the dynamism and instability of this market segment. [1] Due to the indicated reasons, the process of current and strategic planning, analysis, determination of development prospects, is an integral part of agricultural production. The article studies the state of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic on the scale of the North Caucasian Federal District. The dynamics of the indicators of the produced crop and livestock products in the context of the regions of the analyzed values are performed. The results of the analytical study made it possible to build a SWOT analysis model, which identifies the strengths and weaknesses of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic, as well as the opportunities and threats of its development.

1 Introduction

The regional food market is, on the one hand, a subsystem of the national food market, and on the other hand, it acts as a subsystem of the regional social-and-economic system [2].

The relevance of the study is due to the importance of the agri-food market in the region, not only at the level of the subject, but also in general for the country's macroeconomic development, national food security.

The purpose of this study is to analyze the current state of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic in terms of assessing its strengths and weaknesses, as well as opportunities and threats, in order to determine the most favorable prospects for the development of the regional agri-food market.

^{*} Corresponding author: gyatov.anzor@bk.ru

[©] The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

2 Materials and Methods

The information base for the study of the regional agri-food market was the data of the Federal State Statistics Service, as well as literary sources of domestic and foreign authors.

The work found the application of the monographic research method, as well as such methods of cognition as: analysis, synthesis, generalization, the method of economic comparison and the method of SWOT analysis.

3 Results and discussion

To determine the main directions of the strategic development of the regional agri-food market, a comprehensive diagnosis of the state of the agrarian market of the region at the present time is required.

The efficiency and efficiency of agricultural production is largely determined by the provision of the regional agro-industrial complex with a material and technical base [3], table 1 shows the dynamics of changes in indicators characterizing the state of fixed assets in agriculture in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic.

			Year			2019 to 2015	
Indicators	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	in %	
The cost of fixed assets in agriculture, RUR mln	27344	38643	43540	42392	36992	135.3	
New fixed assets commissioned, RUR mln	4302.7	10110. 2	738.0	1305.1	746.0	17.3	
Fixed asset depreciation value, %	30.4	40.6	46.0	47.4	47.3	155.5	
Fixed assets renewal ratio,%	9.8	25.7	6.9	11.5	6.7	68.4	
Fixed assets liquidation ratio,%	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.1	0.4	100	
Return on assets of agriculture	1.43	1.13	1.04	1.16	1.46	102.1	
Capital intensity of agriculture	0.70	0.88	0.96	0.86	0.69	98.6	

Table 1. Fixed assets of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic and the efficiency of their use.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [4].

The data in Table 1 indicate the unsatisfactory state of fixed assets of agriculture in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic, in particular, a high degree of depreciation, a low level of renewal of fixed assets, the exception is the rate of return on assets, which has a slight positive trend over the analyzed period.

The presented information indicates that the material and technical support of agroindustrial production is the "weak" side of the regional agri-food market of the republic. The result of this state of affairs in the industry is: underdevelopment of the infrastructure of the agro-industrial complex, often extensive forms of organization of work, due to a lack of equipment, as well as a reduction in acreage (Table 2).

 Table 2. The structure of the cultivated areas of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic.

		Year							
Indicators	2010	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2019 to 2010 in %		
Sown area, agricultural crops, th ha	287.9	282.6	282.8	283.7	283.0	281.8	97.8		

The structure of sown areas of agricultural crops in farms of all categories, as a percentage of the total sown area, $\%$								
cereal and leguminous crops 175.3 207.8 207.7 210.7 209.1 212.1 121.0								
industrial crop	ps	44.1	26.8	30.0	27.8	31.0	28.5	64.6
potatoes and vegetable and 30.8 29.1 27.9 28.5 24.7 23.7 76.9								76.9
forage crops		37.7	18.9	17.2	16.7	18.2	17.5	46.4

Table 2. Continued.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [4].

The data in Table 2 indicate, in general, a decrease in sown areas over the past 10 years in the KBR by 2.2%. However, it should be noted that positive dynamics for the analyzed period is noted for grain and leguminous crops - an increase in acreage by 21%. For the rest of agricultural crops, there is a decrease in sown areas: industrial crops by 35.4%, potatoes and vegetable and melon crops - 23.1%, fodder crops - 53.6%. Thus, it can be concluded that cereals and leguminous crops prevail in the region's crop production.

For the most vivid picture of the volume of agricultural products produced on the scale of the KBR, let us compare these indicators for the regions of the North Caucasian Federal District (Table 3).

Table 3. Agricultural	products in farms of all	categories (in actua	l prices), RUR mln.

			Ye	ar				Dama
Indicators	2010	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2019 to 2010 in %	ge locati on in the Russi an Feder ation
RF	2462187	479461 5	511235 6	510947 5	534877 7	590795 5	239.9	-
NCFD	197873	384914	428066	436752	461495	493569. 8	249.4	5
The Republic of Dagestan	47410	94478	108473	118960	124371	132923. 2	280.4	14
The Republic of Ingushetia	3231	6885	8518	9031	10392	10812.1	334.6	70
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	23588	37920	42424	45710	49385	54133.1	229.5	35
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	15040	26032	26802	27581	29513	33334.8	221.6	53
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	16910	23210	22165	22291	24198	29990.5	177.4	54
The Chechen Republic	10893	17549	21123	25997	27778	32420.9	297.6	52
Stavropol Territory	80801	178840	198563	187182	195858	199955. 1	247.5	6

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [5].

The volumes of agricultural products for the analyzed period tended to grow in all regions of the North Caucasus Federal District, for example, in the Republic of Ingushetia, the volume of agricultural production increased more than 3 times, in other regions more than 2 times, with the exception of the Republic of North Ossetia-Alania, where the volume of production agriculture increased 1.77 times. However, if we consider the volumes of agricultural products produced on a national scale, it should be noted that the KBR ranks 35th in the country, yielding in this indicator to the Stavropol Territory (6th place) and the Republic of Dagestan (14th place) from the regions of the NCFDt.

Let us consider the production volumes of the main agricultural crops in the regions of the NCFD, for the analysis and comparison of the indicators of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic on the scale of the federal district (Table 4).

		0			
		Ye	ear		2019 to
Crops	2010	2017	2018	2019	2010 in %
Grain (ir	ı weight afte	r processing	g), th tons	•	
NCFD	8456.8	13261.1	11980.3	11424.8	135.1
The Republic of Dagestan	211.1	414.9	359.5	385.0	182.4
The Republic of Ingushetia	43.9	47.4	89.4	40.7	92.7
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	644.0	1157.3	1128.1	1136.1	176.4
Karachay-Cherkess Republic	143.7	476.1	437.9	493.9	343.7
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	399.7	636.2	763.8	791.2	197.9
The Chechen Republic	124.6	422.0	268.4	177.7	142.6
Stavropol Territory	6889.7	10107.2	8933.2	8400.2	121.9
	Sunflower	seeds, th t			
NCFD	388.0	684.2	594.6	560.2	144.4
The Republic of Dagestan	3.8	9.1	8.3	8.4	221.1
The Republic of Ingushetia	3.4	1.9	3.3	0.2	5.9
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	43.2	28.4	32.9	26.1	60.4-2
Karachay-Cherkess Republic	7.0	15.5	17.0	15.0	214.3
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	1.2	3.6	2.4	1.4	116.7
The Chechen Republic	5.0	24.8	4.4	2.3	46.0
Stavropol Territory	324.4	600.9	526.3	506.9	156.3
	Potate	es, th t			
NCFD	1063.2	1065.9	1064.1	1029.8	96.9
The Republic of Dagestan	295.5	357.0	356.3	353.5	119.6
The Republic of Ingushetia	29.5	49.8	37.3	33.0	111.9
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	200.8	184.9	182.9	196.0	97.6
Karachay-Cherkess Republic	165.6	143.9	123.8	107.9	65.2
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	119.4	62.7	99.3	89.2	74.7
The Chechen Republic	21.8	38.5	32.1	32.7	150.0
Stavropol Territory	230.5	229.1	232.5	217.6	94.4
	Vegetal	bles, th t			
NCFD	1632.6	2447.6	2405.1	2442.6	149.6
The Republic of Dagestan	973.6	1451.7	1438.5	1432.1	147.1
The Republic of Ingushetia	1.8	2.8	3.9	5.5	305.6
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	315.0	498.9	470.4	404.4	128.4-2

 Table 4. Gross harvest (thousand tons) of major agricultural crops in all categories of farms in the NCFD regions.

Karachay-Cherkess Republic	56.3	79.3	77.2	73.3	130.2	
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	35.3	19.3	28.4	31.0	87.8	
The Chechen Republic	25.2	75.2	75.2	130.3	517.1	
Stavropol Territory	225.4	320.5	311.6	365.9	162.3	
Fruits and berries, th t						
NCFD	268.4	487.5	557.2	703.8	262.2	
The Republic of Dagestan	107.6	157.7	161.1	173.3	161.1	
The Republic of Ingushetia	0.8	10.9	20.7	25.6	3200.0	
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	90.4	215.2	257.2	349.5	386.6-1	
Karachay-Cherkess Republic	3.4	7.5	10.3	15.0	441.2	
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	14	18.9	18.8	43.2	308.6	
The Chechen Republic	12.0	17.8	20.3	20.9	174.2	
Stavropol Territory	39.3	59.6	68.6	76.4	194.4	

Table 4. Continued.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [5].

The production of grain and leguminous crops for the analyzed period in the KBR increased by 76.4% and in 2019 amounted to 1,136.1 thousand tons, some role in this was played by an increase in acreage for these crops by 21%, in this product category in terms of production, the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic from the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District is second only to the Stavropol Territory, where grain production in 2019 amounted to 8400.2 th t. In terms of sunflower production, the leading place in the North Caucasus Federal District belongs to the Stavropol Territory - 506.9 thousand tons in 2019, followed by Kabardino-Balkaria - 26.1 thousand tons, while it should be noted that the reduction in sunflower production for the analyzed period in the KBR was 39, 6%. The largest gross potato harvest in 2019 in the North Caucasus Federal District belongs to the following regions: the Republic of Dagestan - 353.5 th t, the Stavropol Territory - 217.6 th t and the KBR - 196.0 th t. In terms of vegetable production, the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic from the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District is second only to the Republic of Dagestan - 1432.1 th t and 404.4 th t, respectively. The production of fruit and berry crops in the KBR in 2019 amounted to 349.5 th t, for 2010-2019, the production of this product category increased almost 4 times. It should be noted that the production of fruit and berry crops is the most developed branch of agricultural production in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic, providing a leading position not only among the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District, but also in the Russian Federation, since in the production of fruits and berries the KBR is second only to the Krasnodar Territory in the country, where the gross product collections in 2019 amounted to 497.6 th t. In general, it should be noted that in recent years, the intensification of import substitution, the domestic fruit and berry sector shows positive dynamics and becomes more and more attractive [6].

Republic and the North Caucasian Federal District, c/ha.								
		2019 to						
Crops	2010	2017	2018	2019	2010 in %			
	Kabardino-Balkar Republic							
Grain (weight after 37.4 56.3 54.1 54.8 146.5 enhancement)								

 Table 5. Productivity of the main agricultural crops in all categories of farms in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic and the North Caucasian Federal District, c/ha.

Sunflower seeds	14.4	16.5	18.8	18.4	127.8			
Potatoes	162	204	217	236	145.7			
Vegetables	175	259	290	260	148.6			
Fruits and berries	119.0	200.1	215.9	249.4	209.6			
North Caucasian Federal District								
Grain (weight after enhancement)	32.2	41.9	37.8	35.9	111.5			
Sunflower seeds	13.1	17.6	16.5	17.0	129.8			
Potatoes	128	170	173	176	137.5			
Vegetables	189	269	268	276	146.0			
Fruits and berries	60.8	113.0	122.7	143.5	236.1			

 Table 5. Continued.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [5].

Comparison of yield indicators for the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic and values for the North Caucasian Federal District (Table 5) testifies in favor of the KBR, since practically for all years and for all categories of crops, yield variations in the republic exceed those for the NCFD. These circumstances are due to a number of factors, in particular, favorable natural and climatic conditions; the use of modern technologies in the organization of agricultural production, for example, the system of intensive gardening; the use of mineral fertilizers and modern plant protection products; the use of quality seeds, high-performance varieties and crops; increasing soil fertility.

	Lives	tock (th an	/ RF in			Livestock of sheep and goats (th animals)			
	2017	2018	2019	2019	2017	2018	2019	2019	
NCFD	2126.4	2091.9	2087.6	5	8952. 8	8359. 5	8314. 7	1	
The Republic of Dagestan	1004.0	960.5	952.1	2	5339. 5	4743. 8	4647. 1	1	
The Republic of Ingushetia	57.0	65.4	67.2	64	152.2	198.3	216.2	20	
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	265.7	265.1	268.6	22	364.0	375.9	383.9	13	
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	157.5	157.4	160.7	45	1080. 1	1094. 4	1137. 8	6	
Republic Northern Ossetia- Alania	83.4	91.2	92.7	59	58.9	74.8	98.7	34	
The Chechen Republic	239.7	251.5	252.8	24	248.3	238.5	265.4	18	
Stavropol Territory	319.1	300.7	293.5	20	1709. 9	1633. 7	1565. 6	3	

Table 6. Dynamics of the number of livestock by regions of the NCFD.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [5].

When analyzing the regional agri-food market, along with the indicators of sown areas, gross harvests and crop yields, indicators characterizing the livestock sector of agriculture in the region are of great importance. The basis of animal husbandry is the breeding of cattle, sheep and goats.

The data in Table 6 indicate a positive trend in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic for the analyzed period, both in the number of cattle - 1.1% and in the number of sheep and goats - 5.5%. In terms of the number of cattle in 2019 in the NCFD, the leading positions belong to the Republic of Dagestan - 952.1 th animals, the Stavropol Territory - 293.5 th animals and the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic - 268.6 th animals. The three leaders in terms of the number of sheep and goats among the regions of the NCFD are as follows: The Republic of Dagestan - 4647.1 th animals, the Stavropol Territory - 1565.6 th animals and the Karachay-Cherkess Republic - 1137.8 th animals, then the KBR - 383.9 th animals. In general, it should be noted, in contrast to crop production, animal husbandry is a weaker competitive position of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic. Further strengthening of the competitive advantages of the industry requires an increase in the productivity of livestock and poultry (Table 7).

		Year							
Crops	2017	2018	2019	2019 to 2017 in %	Place in RF in 2019				
Production of live	estock and p	poultry for s	laughter (sla	aughter weig	ght), th t				
NCFD	655.1	681.9	706.9	107.9	7				
The Republic of Dagestan	143.6	148.4	150.8	105.0	23				
The Republic of Ingushetia	3.4	3.7	4.0	117.6	76				
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	73.9	70.5	72.1	97.6	43				
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	29.2	27.9	31.2	106.8	57				
Republic Northern Ossetia-Alania	20.9	19.2	23.0	110.0	64				
The Chechen Republic	23.7	23.5	24.6	103.8	63				
Stavropol Territory	360.4	388.5	401.3	111.3	5				
	Mi	lk productio	n, th t						
NCFD	2618.4	2627.2	2694.9	102.9	5				
The Republic of Dagestan	875.6	892.7	913.3	104.3	7				
The Republic of Ingushetia	93.1	98.4	107.4	115.4	66				
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	490.5	499.2	514.4	104.9	24				
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	185.4	187.0	193.1	104.2	47				
Republic Northern Ossetia-Alania	144.5	155.0	167.9	116.2	53				
The Chechen Republic	286.9	285.8	290.7	101.3	40				
Stavropol Territory	542.5	509.1	507.9	93.6	25				

 Table 7. Livestock production in the NCFD.

	Egg	production,	mln pcs.		
NCFD	1587.1	1607.2	1567.7	98.8	7
The Republic of Dagestan	255.0	245.0	246.1	96.5	44
The Republic of Ingushetia	14.2	19.7	23.3	164.1	73
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	229.5	229.8	230.1	100.3	45
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	81.3	83.7	82.5	101.5	65
Republic Northern Ossetia-Alania	70.5	69.2	69.2	98.2	66
The Chechen Republic	146.3	131.4	122.7	83.9	56
Stavropol Territory	790.4	828.3	793.8	100.4	25
	И	ool product	ion, t		
NCFD	24258	24181	21922	90.4	1
The Republic of Dagestan	15061	15481	13126	87.2	1
The Republic of Ingushetia	332	343	428	128.9	19
Kabardino- Balkar Republic	976	1000	1033	105.8	10
Karachay- Cherkess Republic	1403	1342	1380	98.4	8
Republic Northern Ossetia-Alania	95	104	114	120.0	35
The Chechen Republic	595	575	546	91.8	17
Stavropol Territory	5823	5336	5294	90.9	3

Table 7. Continued.

Source: compiled by the author based on the data of [5].

The data in Table 7 indicate a positive trend in the production of livestock products for the analyzed period in the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic: milk production - 4.9%, egg production - 0.3%, wool production - 5.8%, with the exception of livestock and poultry production. for slaughter, where there was a decrease in the indicator by 2.4%.

In general, it should be noted that in terms of crop and livestock production, the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic is one of the top three in the North Caucasian Federal District, along with the Stavropol Territory and the Republic of Dagestan. However, for the objectivity of the conclusions, it is necessary to take into account that the number of people employed in agriculture in the Stavropol Territory exceeds the number of people employed in agriculture in the KBR by 2.5 times; in the Republic of Dagestan - 3.3 times. The sown area of the Stavropol Territory is 11.2 times, and the area of the Republic of Dagestan is 1.2 times higher than the same indicators for the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic. It should also be noted that the total land area of the Stavropol Territory is 6616.0 th ha, the Republic of Dagestan - 5027.0 thousand hectares, and the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic - 1247.0 th ha.

To assess the state of the regional market for agricultural products for strengths and weaknesses, as well as to identify promising areas of development - opportunities and potential threats, the SWOT analysis method can be used [7] (Table 8).

Table 8. SWOT analysis of the development of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian
Republic.

Strengths:	Weaknesses
 Strengths: favorable natural and climatic conditions and geographical location for agricultural production; the market of fruit and berry products occupies a leading position on a national scale; the use of modern, innovative technologies in production (intensive gardening, modern vegetable and fruit storage facilities); developed infrastructure network in the region (gasification, electrification, water supply systems; transport and logistics networks); human resources, characterized by the presence in the region of specialized secondary and higher educational institutions for the training of specialists in the field of the agroindustrial complex. 	 Weaknesses reduction of acreage; insufficient level of technical equipment of agricultural production; with the exception of certain industries, such as gardening, vegetable growing, agriculture of the republic, it is characterized by a low level of innovation; low standard of living of the rural population; underdeveloped specialized agricultural infrastructure, for example, seed production, agrochemistry (often preference is given to imported analogues), breeding, veterinary medicine, etc.; the system of state support and subsidies does not fully satisfy the needs of agricultural producers; an underdeveloped risk insurance system that meets modern conditions for organizing agricultural production.
Opportunities. - the regional agri-food market has the potential for import substitution of agricultural products; - development of export opportunities in some sectors of the agro-industrial complex; - involvement of unused land in agricultural production; - formation and development of an effective mechanism of state support for the agricultural sector at the regional level; - development of production of environmentally friendly products, as a result of the growing demand for such products; - the availability of innovative technologies, advanced experience, which makes it possible to increase the efficiency of agricultural production. It is proposed to consider innovative activity as a set of scientific, technical, organizational, financial and commercial measures aimed at commercializing the generated relevant knowledge and technologies [8]; - enhancing the quality of the management system in agriculture; - creation of conditions for enhancing the quality of life, the standard of living of the rural population, in order to curb migration processes in rural areas.	Threats: - high dependence of agricultural production on weather conditions, as a result of which the risks of natural disasters, crop failure, etc. - reduction in the volume of state support for the agricultural sector - the agri-food market (like any market) is subject to market fluctuations, both in the domestic and foreign markets; - decrease in soil fertility, depletion of the potential of land, natural, water resources, due to non-observance of scientific recommendations for the rational use of natural resources; - low level of investment attractiveness of the agro-industrial complex for external investors.

4 Conclusions

Analysis of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic showed the existing "strengths" and "weaknesses" in the agricultural production system of the republic, as well as revealed the possibilities for growth and development of the agri-food market in the region, revealed the potential for strengthening the competitive position of the agricultural sector in the domestic and foreign markets. However, the implementation of the "opportunities" for the development of the regional agri-food market presented in the SWOT analysis is associated with overcoming "threats" and dangers of various nature,

without the resolution of which the effective and progressive development of the agri-food market of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic is impossible.

Acknowledgments

The study was performed with the financial support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project No. 20-010-00853A.

References

- 1. N. Yu. Polunina, In the collection: Statistical analysis of the socio-economic development of the constituent entities of the Russian Federation, Materials of the 5th International Scientific and Practical Conference, 249-253 (2018)
- O. I. Vanyushina, V. N. Minat, Bulletin of Rural Development and Social Policy, 2(18), 2-9 (2018)
- 3. E. V. Salnikova, M. E. Otinova, Economics of Agricultural and Processing Enterprises, 10, 40-48 (2020).
- 4. Official site of the Federal State Statistics Service, https://stavstat.gks.ru
- 5. Regions of Russia, Socio-economic indexes (2019), https://rosstat.gov.ru
- 6. A. N. Baydakov, A. V. Nazarenko, O. N. Babkina, Kant, 4(29), 213-219 (2018)
- E. V. Afanasyev, A. A. Bykov, S.M. Golovatyuk, AIC: Economy, management, 5, 40-46 (2019)
- 8. N. Kh. Kairova, R. Ye. Shokumova, Z. S. Marzhokhov, REVISTA INCLUSIONES, 7, 435-451 (2020)