

Seasonal changes in quality of wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry

Monika Puchlik^{1,*}, and Katarzyna Ignatowicz¹

¹Bialystok Technical University, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Technology in Engineering and Environmental Protection, ul. Wiejska 45E, 15-351, Poland

Abstract. The paper aimed at evaluating the seasonal changes in quality of wastewater from facilities producing fruit and vegetable juices, processed and frozen products, and vegetable concentrates. The study revealed that wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry contain large amounts of organic substances expressed as BOD₅ (minimum – 500 mgO₂/dm³, maximum – 6 100 mgO₂/dm³) and COD (minimum – 806 mg O₂/dm³, maximum – 7 732 mg O₂/dm³), while is deficient in nitrogen and phosphorus. Considerable seasonal oscillations in sewage load disposed by industry to sewerage, were observed. An increase of 50%–60% wastewater concentrations was found between June and October in 2013–2016 as compared to the remaining months.

1 Introduction

High-quality water becomes or will become a rare product in many branches. Food production and processing require large amounts of water of different qualities. The water re-use during food production and processing is common practice with probable increase in near future [1, 2]. Facilities of the food industry having the specificity of particular branch can be a source of many threats for all elements of natural environment – soil, water, air, plants, animals, and human. The foodstuff industry is a division involved in acquiring and processing of natural resources and is one of the links of food economy composed of many elements that are closely associated one to another [3]. The food industry wastewater, like household sewage, is characterized by organic substances expressed as COD and BOD₅ [4, 5]. Organic compounds contained, however, are decomposed to a lesser extent. They are mainly natural biologically-decomposable high-molecular proteins, carbohydrates, and fats, along with depending on raw material, inorganic salts, admixtures from the soil, as well as disinfection agents. Quantity of wastewater oscillated within very broad limits in reference to the unit amounts of raw material processed of a final product. The wastewater disposal is often uneven and is characterized by sinusoidal shape. In some facilities, it can be reduced

* Corresponding author: m.puchlik@pb.edu.pl

to particular seasons (seasonal work, campaign operation). There are about 2400 facilities in Poland; over 90% are small, while 6% are large facilities of all processing plants registered in REGON [6]. The processed 1015–1020 thousand tons of fruits and 1070 thousand tons of vegetables in 2013–2014 [7]. The largest amount of processed products in Poland are concentrated juices, frozen products, jams, and puree, fruit and vegetable processing industry is very common, whereas the identification of the food industry facilities interaction to the environment is extremely difficult. It results, among others, from this branch structure in Poland that is characterized by great degree of fragmentation. Therefore, the activities upon the determination of seasonal changes in quality of wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry, were undertaken based on the environment protection issues. It should consist in disposal of wastewater with contaminants loads permissible from a point of view of self-clearing ability of the environment maintenance [8, 9].

2 Characteristics of raw wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry

Fruit and vegetable processing is characterized by seasonal activity that falls mainly between June and October [10]. The activity associated with processing and conserving fruits and vegetables involved 88% entities, while remaining 12% were involved in juices and beverages production [11]. Considering the specificity and diversity of the processed materials, wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry is difficult to characterize [12, 13]. The largest amounts of wastewater are generated in the first stage of production [13]. Wastewater arising from washing the fruits and vegetables are usually used once. In larger facilities, water used in the first stage is pre-purified in sieves and disposed into the sewerage after washing all fruit and vegetable lot, and then replaced with new water batch. In opinion of Nawirska (2007), wastewater generated in fruit and vegetable industry facilities are characterized by high amounts of carbohydrates and minerals as well as variable composition depending on the raw material and season [3, 12, 14]. Its composition is also affected by washing and line disinfection processes [15]. Washing introduces solid, colloid, and dissolved contaminants into the wastewater, which is dependent on the type of raw material processed, but also on technological process applied. At the same time, difficult to determine quantities of washing and disinfection agents penetrate to wastewater. Depending on the site of wastewater generation, following stages can be distinguished: raw material washing, purification, peeling, blanching, cooling (post-cooling medium), or filling. Composition and amounts of wastewater also depend on: type, quality, setup and size of a washer, type and origin of raw material [15, 16]. Wastewater generated during fruit concentrates production is characterized by reaction from 5.8 to 9.4 along with COD value from 1 030 to 5 630 mgO₂/dm³ [17]. In facilities producing diverse assortment, e.g. salads, puree, marinades, the acidity range can be from 4.9 to 7.7, while COD value from 5 260 to 270 mgO₂/dm³. These levels depend on production technology and currently processed raw material [17]. Wastewater from fruit and vegetable processing is deficient in nitrogen and its main contaminant is organic substance [10,15]. According to literature, the BOD₅ value can amount from 500 to 5 000 mgO₂/ dm³, whereas during the production season, it even exceeds 5 000 mgO₂/dm³ [10, 18–20]. These concentrations are much higher than in household-farm wastewater [10, 21, 22], while comparable to those reported by Demirel et al. [23], Puchlik et al. [3] and Neczaj et al. [24] from dairy industry. Seasonal oscillations in quality of wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry became problem for many conventional treatment plants, to which they are disposed [25–32].

3 Materials and methods

Experiments were carried out in Department of Technology in Engineering and Environmental Protection and certified laboratory of Białystok Waterworks Ltd. in Białystok according to APHA [33]. Samples of raw wastewater were collected according to Polish norm [34] 4 times a month since January till December in 2013–2016 from the facility in north-eastern Poland producing fruit and vegetable juices, processed and frozen products, and vegetable concentrates. Physicochemical analyses included: acidity, BOD₅, COD, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total solid suspension (TSS). Achieved average monthly results from physicochemical determinations of raw wastewater were subjected to statistical analysis using Statistica 13.1 software in order to confirm the linear dependencies for the production season (since June till October) and out of this period (since January till May and since November till December). Pearson correlation at the significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ was applied assuming values from $[-1, 1]$ interval. The calculations take into account general measures of the above parameters including arithmetic mean, median, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation.

4 Results

Studies upon the composition of raw wastewater in selected fruit and vegetable processing plants were carried out in 2013-2016. Wastewater from facilities producing a wide range of assortment was analyzed. These facilities produce fruit and vegetable juices, processed and frozen products, and vegetable concentrates on a national scale, and the production is performed the whole year. Results from physicochemical determinations of raw wastewater made possible to analyze in details the changes in wastewater quality within 2013–2016. Tables 1–2 present study results for raw wastewater, which were divided into the campaign and out of this period. It was found that intensified production of juices and processed products in summer causes an increase in organic compounds concentrations expressed as COD and BOD₅, as well as increase in the total solid suspension in wastewater. Tables 1 and 2 present significant differences for both periods at intensified juice and processed vegetables production and out of the production campaign, during which frozen and concentrated vegetables dominated. Wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry collected since June till October were characterized by much higher values of COD and BOD₅ as compared to that collected since January till May and December till January. The highest average levels of BOD₅ and COD were recorded during the production campaign (Table 1). The BOD₅ levels varied from 500 up to 6100 mgO₂·dm⁻³, while COD from 1256 to 7732 mgO₂·dm⁻³ during the intensified juice production since June till October. Meanwhile out of the campaign, values of BOD₅ were from 535 to 4000 mgO₂·dm⁻³ and COD from 806 to 6436 mgO₂·dm⁻³. Sewage disposed from the fruit and vegetable processing facility were characterized by low concentrations of total nitrogen. The mean content for the production campaign period was 62.1 mgN·dm⁻³, while for the remaining period 58.9 mgN·dm⁻³. Similar statistical distribution of total nitrogen concentrations within the whole study, was observed. Maximum total phosphorus content amounting to 178.4 mgP·dm⁻³ was observed during the campaign, whereas minimum equal to 7.6 mgP·dm⁻³ – out of the production campaign. When analyzing the total solid suspensions concentration, a considerable increase was found since June till October (825.4 mg·dm⁻³). This increase in total solid suspension in summer was associated with production intensification. Enhancing production since June till October also resulted from introduction of fresh fruits and vegetables (strawberry, carrot, beet, apple, cherry, sweet cherry, currant, gooseberry), which in consequence caused the increase in contaminants concentrations in wastewater. Decrease in the contamination levels was recorded in the remaining period, which was

caused by a stable production of frozen and concentrated vegetables. Acidity of wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry oscillated from 3.7 up to 10.2 during the campaign, while from 3.2 to 9.8 out of that period. Analysis of the total solid suspensions revealed their increase during the production intensification, whereas decrease in winter and spring. A strong linear dependence between total solid suspension vs. values of COD and BOD₅ was found (Table 3).

Table 1. Quality of raw wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry during the production campaign in 2013–2016.

Parameter	Unit	Average	Median	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
COD	mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³	2820.0	2604.0	1254.3	1256.0	7732.0
BOD ₅	mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³	2361.3	2319.0	1020.6	500.0	6100.0
TSS	mg·dm ⁻³	825.4	698.6	502.0	239.0	2367.0
Total Phosphorus	mgP·dm ⁻³	17.5	12.8	26.3	7.9	178.4
Total Nitrogen	mgN·dm ⁻³	62.1	65.3	16.8	29.0	88.3
pH	-	-	-	-	3.7	10.2

Table 2. Quality of raw wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry out of the production campaign in 2013–2016.

Parameter	Unit	Average	Median	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
COD	mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³	2113.9	1819.0	1162.6	806.0	6436.0
BOD ₅	mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³	1660.3	1208.0	1012.1	535.0	4000.0
TSS	mg·dm ⁻³	649.9	377.0	660.9	165.0	3268.0
Total Phosphorus	mgP·dm ⁻³	13.6	11.6	5.0	7.6	32.9
Total Nitrogen	mgN·dm ⁻³	58.9	60.0	13.8	32.7	106.0
pH	-	-	-	-	3.2	9.8

Table 3. Correlation of variables for raw wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry in 2013–2016.

Variable	COD	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen
COD	1.00	0.86	0.45	0.13	0.10
BOD ₅	0.86	1.00	0.43	0.09	0.21
TSS	0.45	0.43	1.00	0.15	0.19
Total Phosphorus	0.13	0.09	0.15	1.00	0.09
Total Nitrogen	0.10	0.21	0.19	0.09	1.00

5 Conclusions

The study results subjected to analyses allow for drawing the following conclusions:

1. In the case of tested parameters, it is evident their remarkable variability due to the type of production process in fruit and vegetable processing plant. It is characterized by the presence of intensified production period, when fruit and vegetable juices are produced, processed products during the campaign, as well as frozen and concentrated vegetables (out of the campaign) along with the

- downtimes of technological lines, when conservation works and washing of devices is performed.
2. Remarkable seasonal oscillations in the concentrations of contaminants disposed with the industrial wastewater into the sewerage. Since June till October, they increased by about 50%–60% as compared to the remaining periods.
 3. Organic substance expressed as COD and BOD₅ as well as total soil suspensions were the general contaminants present in wastewater from fruit and vegetable processing facility.
 4. Wastewater from fruit and vegetable industry, as compared to the typical sewage from sewerage, was characterized by much higher values of BOD₅ and COD, as well as much lower levels of total nitrogen and phosphorus.
 5. Calculations revealed that COD to BOD₅ ratio for raw wastewater from analyzed facility was lower than 2 indicating that the sewage is readily bio-degradable.
 6. Achieved results from studies upon raw wastewater from the facility producing fruit and vegetable juices, processed products, and frozen and concentrated vegetables, confirm the necessity to carry out the qualitative and quantitative studies of disposed wastewater.

The research has been carried out in the framework of project No. MB/WBiŚ/13/2015 and financed from the funds for science MNiSW and as well as by courtesy and help of Białystok Waterworks Ltd.

References

1. R.M. Kirby, J. Bartram, R. Carr, Food Control **14**, 5 (2003)
2. A. Kotowski, B. Kaźmierczak, M. Wdowikowski, Environ. Prot. Eng. **35** (2013)
3. M. Puchlik, J. Struk-Sokołowska, E3S Web Of Conferences (to be published)
4. W. Dąbrowski, M. Puchlik, Annual Set Environment Protection, **12** (2010)
5. K. Ignatowicz, M. Puchlik, Annual Set Environment Protection, **13** (2011)
6. GUS Central Statistical Office, Entities of the national economy registered in the REGON register, declaring their activity according to particular legal forms and PKD200, Quarterly information on entities of the national economy in REGON register declaring the economic activity (2015)
7. GUS Central Statistical Office, Environmental Protection, Information and statistics. Warszawa 2013–2015 (2015)
8. R. Al-Isawi, M. Scholz, Y. Wang, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
9. J.A. Alvarez., D. De la Varga, A. Pascual, D. V. Oirschot, R. Kilian, P.N. Carvalho, H. Brix, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
10. M. Soroko, Water, Environment, Rural Areas, **3** (2003)
11. S. Kierczyńska, Yearbooks X, **4** (2008)
12. A. Nawirska, Agro Industry **3** (2007)
13. M. Strzelczyk, A. Steinhoff-Wrzeźniewska, A. Rajmund Pol. J. Chem. Tech. **12**, 4 (2010)

14. G. Murtaza, A. Ghafoor, M. Qadir, G. Owens, M.A. Aziz, M. H. Zia, Saifullah Pedosphere **20**, 1 (2010)
15. M. Puchlik, Journal of Ecological Engineering **17**, 1 (2016)
16. A. Nawirska, L. Szymański, Publishing House of Agricultural University in Wrocław, (2002)
17. D. Kopiec, Communal Review, **2** (2007)
18. B. Koziorowski, *Treatment of industrial wastewater* (WTN, Warsaw, 1980)
19. F. Meinck, H. Stoof, Kohlschutter, *Industrial wastewater* (1975)
20. B. Talik, B. Chudzik, IMUZ **20**, 3 (2000)
21. M. Puchlik, K. Ignatowicz, W. Dąbrowski, Journal of Ecological Engineering **16**, 1 (2015)
22. B. Bartkiewicz, K. Umiejewska, *Treatment of industrial wastewater* (PWN, 2010)
23. B. Demirel, O. Yenigun, T.T. Onay, Proc. Biochem. **40** (2005)
24. E. Neczaj, M. Kacprzak, T. Kamizela, J. Lach, E. Okoniewska, Desalination, **222** (2008)
25. K. Ignatowicz, J. Piekarski, D. Kozłowski, Annual Set Environment Protection, **17** (2015)
26. P. Kogut, J. Piekarski, K. Ignatowicz, Annual Set Environment Protection, **16** (2014)
27. C.A. Arias, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
28. R.G. Andries, A.T. de Matos, W. Freitas, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
29. J.S. Bays, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
30. K. Haarstad, J. Bavor, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
31. P. Haase, A. Carter, E. Corwin, P. Myer, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
32. E. Jiao, Ch. Gao, Q. Zhang, Y. Tian, 15th IWA International Conference on Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Conference Proceedings, **1** (2016)
33. E.W. Rice, R.B. Baird, A.D. Eaton, L.S. Clesceri, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation (WEF), 22nd Edition (2012)
34. PN-ISO 5667-10:1997, Water quality – Sampling – Guidelines for the sampling of wastewater in Poland