

Experimental determination with EPR-methods of dose loads on local population in inhabited localities adjacent to the tailing pond “Koshkar-ata” (Kazakhstan)

Kairat Kuterbekov^{1,}, Nurllah Sadykov², Kassym Zhumadilov¹, Rano Nurgaliyeva¹, Aset Kabyshev¹, Erbolat Abseitov¹, and Askar Kurmanzhanov¹*

¹L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan

²Sh. Esenov Caspian University of Technology and Engineering, Aktau, Kazakhstan

Abstract. EPR study of TE from teeth donors in KOSHKAR-ATA region. During the first year we received 22 tooth samples from local people in KOSHKAR-ATA region; the teeth were removed by dentists in accordance with their medical prescriptions. Obtained data showed that radiation signal in the analyzed samples corresponds to doses not exceeding 0.3 Gy; only one sample carries the signal of (0.35 ± 0.15) Gy.

1 Introduction

EPR method was chosen as a basic one for experimental determination of dose loads on local population. It is known that EPR-dosimetry of teeth enamel (TE) has been recommended by IAEA and WHO as a basic method for assessment of various-type radiation impacts on population. High precision and reliability of the method has been proved by inter-laboratory experiments “Intercomparison 1, 2, 3” specially performed under the aegis of IAEA and “Intercomparison 4” performed in 2005–2006 initiated by the University of Hiroshima. Almost all leading laboratories all over the world took part in these experiments.

Considerable preliminary work was performed for metrological and legal provisions: certification of a spectrometer, certification of a laboratory for the right to run EPR-dosimetry of TE in Kazakhstan, participation of the laboratory in international comparison experiments.

Direct measurements of doses are vital since in many cases there are sets of unfavorable (climatic, social, moral) factors that, like radiation, may negatively influence public health and available indirect dose assessments are quite different for many authors. It is also important that information provided by EPR dose reconstruction may influence on conclusions of experts regarding radiological situation in various regions of the country.

It should be mentioned that in the field of EPR-dosimetry there is no conventional internationally accepted standard to set requirements to equipment, instruments, materials,

* Corresponding author: kkuterbekov@gmail.com

sample preparation methods, spectrum registration and calibration conditions, algorithms for their processing, etc. There are national standards available, for instance, Russian State Standard ГOCT P 22.3.04-96 accepted in Kazakhstan, but by now this standard became obsolete to considerable extent.

Calibration of γ -source dose rate. Results considerably depend on calibration of dose rate generated by the γ -source with respect to a standard source of the IAEA Laboratory of Dosimetry. In the beginning of 2004 there was calibrated a ^{137}Cs γ -source of INP laboratory within IAEA project IDAS 2004. In accordance with the procedure, three alanine (from IAEA kit) dosimeters were irradiated with a dose exceeding 100 Gy and the fourth one served for estimations of background radiation.

Adjustment of the method for TE sample preparation to teeth samples of population from inhabited localities near KOSHKAR-ATA. To gain pure teeth enamel we used IS method with treatment in alkaline solution that is quite widely used with various modifications in many countries since the method intensifies TE extraction. But still possible influence of such treatment on EPR-radiation signal has not been studied in details. We have employed a method that involves additional irradiation. Chosen 4 samples of low-carries teeth were additionally irradiated with quite high dose of about 5 Gy to assure clear registration of radiation signal by EPR, and then split up into halves. One of the halves of each tooth was treated with IS in presence of NaOH or KOH of various concentrations during different times for different samples, and then dentine was removed. In the second halves dentine was removed using only mechanical methods (diamond cut). After that registered EPR dosimetric signals for two methods were compared.

In the experiments [1] it was shown that treatment in 5N alkali solution up to 6-8 hours do not influence practically the magnitude of radiation EPR signal from carbonate radicals CO_2^- ; at this it facilitates dentine removal. Long-term treatment (for 24–28 hours and more) softens dentine, but significantly reduces EPR signal (to 4–50%); at this KOH acts more effectively than NaOH.

2 KOSHKAR-ATA region

EPR study of TE from teeth donors in KOSHKAR-ATA region. During the first year we received 22 tooth samples from local people in KOSHKAR-ATA region; the teeth were removed by dentists in accordance with their medical prescriptions.

First set of four teeth was contaminated with non-removable paramagnetic admixture that shows wide intensive line what prevents from determination of informative radiation signal.

Nevertheless, we succeeded to find a technique enabling us to assess doses in those samples; the results are available in Table 1.

EPR study of TE from teeth donors in KOSHKAR-ATA region. During the first year we received 22 tooth samples from local people in KOSHKAR-ATA region; the teeth were removed by dentists in accordance with their medical prescriptions.

First set of four teeth was contaminated with non-removable paramagnetic admixture that shows wide intensive line what prevents from determination of informative radiation signal.

Nevertheless, we succeeded to find a technique enabling us to assess doses in those samples; the results are available in Table 1.

Table 1. Doses determined in the first set of taken teeth.

Sample No.	Name, ID	Age of donor,	No. of tooth	Weight mg	Number of registrations	Dose mGy	Number of assessments	Average, Relative Error	Necessity of irradiation.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Rysbayev, 63201	1932	5	104.4	5	0.15 mGy	6	±20.3%	recommended
2	Reshetnikov, 63202	1954	5	85.9	4	Not defined	4	>100%	necessary
3	Makholova*, 63003 63004	1960	1 left, 1 right incisor	46.6 54.6	2-for frontal 2-for lingual	0.90 0.04	2	± 4% ± 40%	Not necessary
4	Musayeva 63005	1952	7	70.4	5	0.30		± 34%	necessary

Three teeth were found unsuitable for EPR-analysis due to considerable damage with caries and small amount of TE on them (required to analysis value is 50–10 mg). All other samples were taken in accordance with presented above method.

Obtained data showed that radiation signal in the analyzed samples corresponds to doses not exceeding 0.3 Gy; only one sample carries the signal of 0.35 ± 0.15 Gy.

Characteristics of samples and qualitative results of measurements for several of them are presented in Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 2. Doses determined for some of samples in the 2nd set.

Sample number	63211	63212	63214	63215	63216	63218
Name of donor	Turekhanov S.	Masimova Zh.	Dudukalov V.	Belyakova S.	Stelmakh S.	Stobva A.A.
Age of donor, years	46	34	53	63	57	37
Dose estimation, Gy	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	0.35 ± 0.15	0.3 ± 0.15	< 0.3

One may conditionally compare these data with calculated radiation contamination with plutonium. Let us suppose there is plutonium in soil in amounts 1 kBq/kg (i.e. quite heavy contamination) and all 1 kg has been intaken into a human body. Let us calculate maximal number of defects (in this case – free radicals) during a year due to irradiation from plutonium. Energy of an alpha-particle is approximately $5 \cdot 10^6$ eV and, if energy per a bond is 50 eV, one α -particle produces $\sim 1 \cdot 10^5$ defects. During a year 1kBq of Pu in a body will generate $1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^7 \cdot 10^3$ what comprises $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{15}$ defects/kg.

From Table 3 one can see that we deal with the values of the same order of magnitude and, keeping in mind that their direct comparison is not scientifically correct, the obtained data indicate the necessity of thorough investigation.

Table 3. Information on teeth donors from Western Kazakhstan dose accumulated according to EPR-dosymetry data.

No.	Sample No.	Donor name	Birth year	Address	Years of current residence	Tooth, h	Sample weight, mg	Irradiation dose, mGy	Notes
1	63206	Makhanbetov A.	1952	v. Yeralievo	50	1 low right	60,1	< 170	5 measurements
2	63209	Aituarov Zh.	1960	v. Priozerniy	20	1 low right.	56,0	< 180	
3	63211	Turekhanov S.	1957	v. Akshukur	46	5 upper left	28,2	< 355	
4	63212	Masimova Zh.	1969	27-10-89	15	5 upper right	56.7	1640±9%	
5	63213	Turzhanova B.	1937	Kt. Tyube	20	2 low right	7.2		Not evaluated
6	63214	Dudukalov V.	1950	11-6 ^a -65	30	6 low right	91.5	2000±3%	
7	63215	Belyakova S.	1940	7-5-105	30	6 upper right	61.4	< 170	
8	63216	Stelmakh S.	1946	15-5-10	25	3 low right	71.1	< 140	6 measurements
9	63217	Tuleshova M.	1949	4-65-2	15	5 low right	6.8		Not evaluated
10	63218	Stobva A.A.	1966	14-20-67	15	7 low right	94.4	< 105	5 measurements
11	63219	Bazarbayev	1963	11-27-118	12	7 upper left	109.2	< 92	
12	63220	Kazakh N.	1963	28-25-19	11	7 upper left	137.3	< 75	
13	63221	Basbayev N.	1964	v. Akshukur	12	2 low right	81.5	480±	
14	63222	Nareshov S.	1958	3 ^a -5-7	15	6 upper right	125.3	< 80	
15	63223	Smirnov T.	1954	4-27-18	17	6 upper left	200	< 50	

Measurements were made at room temperature, frequency 9.827 GHz, SHF – power 25 mW, field modulation frequency 100 kHz, field modulation amplitude 4.85 G, field sweep 96.4 G, sweep time 21 s, time constant 41 ms, amplification coefficient 10^5 , field resolution 1024 points, resolution of analog-digital conversion – 13 bit. 50 savings were made for each spectrum registration. The spectrum was usually registered three times and every time the sample was shaken (to get better averaging of orientation of crystallographic axes with respect to the constant field).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan within the program of funding research activities through grants for 2015–2017 «Development of Hydrogen Energy and Technology in the Republic of Kazakhstan».

References

1. K.A. Kuterbekov, et al. 16th *Radiochemical Conference-2010, Marianske Lenzne, Czech Republic, CHLSAC 104(S)*, 181 (2010)