E3S Web of Conferences 1, 33004 (2013) DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/20130133004 © Owned by the authors, published by EDP Sciences, 2013

# An examination of the factors controlling net methylation in estuarine sediments: Results from measurements and models

A. T. Schartup<sup>1</sup>, P. Balcom<sup>1</sup>, C. Chen<sup>2</sup> and <u>R. P. Mason<sup>1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT 06340 USA, robert.mason@uconn.edu; 860-4059129

<sup>2</sup> Dartmouth College, Dartmouth, NH 03755 USA

**Abstract.** An examination of the distribution of mercury and methylmercury across estuarine ecosystems in the northeast USA was completed under a number of projects. Sites ranged from Maine to the Chesapeake Bay and included both pristine and contaminated sites. In addition to measurements of bulk sediment and porewater, methylation and demethylation rates were also measured. Results showed that the relationships between sediment-porewater partitioning and methylation potential with sediment organic content are complex and that sediment organic content alone is not always a good predictor of the potential for a system to produce methylmercury. Modeling and correlations between variables suggest that the sulfur content of the system needs to be considered and for high organic content sediments, both sulfur and organic content.

Key words: Mercury, methylmercury, coastal, methylation, demethylation, bioaccumulation

### Introduction

Monomethylmercury (CH<sub>3</sub>Hg) is a neurotoxin that can cause long-term developmental delays in children, effects on fetal growth, and has been linked to impaired cardiovascular health in adults (Karagas et al., 2012; Fitzgerald and Clarkson, 1991). For most fish eating populations, marine fish are the major source of human exposure to CH<sub>3</sub>Hg. Most of the inputs of Hg to the ocean are from the atmosphere but it appears that most of the CH<sub>3</sub>Hg is produced within the coastal and open ocean environment (Mason et al., 2012). Therefore, we need to improve our understanding of how changes in emissions of mercury (Hg) on a global scale, and inputs of Hg to coastal systems, affect concentrations in coastal and open ocean fish. Better constraints on estimated lifetimes of different Hg forms in marine ecosystems and of the biogeochemical factors driving interspecies conversions understand factors are needed to controlling accumulation in marine food webs.

A recent literature review concluded that coastal systems and methylation in coastal sediments are not important in terms of bioaccumulation of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg into open ocean fish (Mason et al., 2012). However, the majority of the global fish/shellfish harvest for human consumption is from the coastal fisheries (FAO 2008)

and it is apparent from numerous studies that methylation within estuarine and coastal waters is important for exposure of estuarine, coastal and migratory fish. For this reason, we have focused on coastal systems across the eastern USA in a number of studies to evaluate factors controlling the net methylation of Hg in these ecosystems, as well as its bioaccumulation. This paper will focus on the biogeochemical aspects and will attempt to synthesize the recent advances made in understanding Hg fate and transport, and methylation, and  $CH_3Hg$  demethylation in coastal environments.

#### **Materials and Methods**

We examined the concentrations of Hg, CH<sub>3</sub>Hg and ancillary parameters such as natural organic matter (NOM) and reduced sulfide species in sediments and porewaters across a geographic range of estuarine locations. The locations chosen differed substantially in total mercury (HgT) and organic carbon loading and included sites from a number of studies (Hollweg et al., 2009; Schartup et al., 2012). The main goal was to examine the underlying mechanisms controlling differences between ecosystems, and to develop models of sedimentary Hg and CH<sub>3</sub>Hg cycling, and to examine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 2.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the main sources of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg in these systems. The estuarine sites that were selected for their biogeochemical characteristics, Hg content, and location and are in the Northeastern US: Wells, ME; Portsmouth, NH; Long Island Sound (CT, NY); Hackensack, NJ; Delaware River, DE and Chesapeake Bay, (MD, VA)(Fig. 1). There were several sampling sites within each location which varied in water depths, salinity and other physical factors. Sites were also examined in terms of their potential for net in situ Hg methylation by estimating both Hg methylation and CH3Hg degradation rates using stable isotope spike enrichment incubation experiments (Hollweg et al., 2009; Hintelmann and Evans, 1997).

Fig. 1. Locations of the sampling sites along the



northeastern USA.

Samples were analyzed using standard techniques for Hg and MeHg, developed during our studies in the Chesapeake Bay (Hollweg et al., 2009; 2010) and further refined in our recent studies (Schartup et al., 2012). When possible, sediment Hg content was quantified using a DMA 80 solid phase Hg analyzer. Otherwise, Hg was determined after digestion and oxidation with bromine monochloride (BrCl) by tin chloride (SnCl<sub>2</sub>) reduction. The elemental Hg formed was sparged and trapped on gold columns prior to quantification. In most studies CH<sub>3</sub>Hg was determined after distillation of the sample prior to ethylation derivitization, sparging and trapping on Tenax. Depending on the sample, cold vapor atomic fluorescence (CVAFS) or ICP-MS were used to quantify the amount of Hg or CH<sub>3</sub>Hg trapped on the columns (gold or Tenax) (Hollweg et al., 2009).

Methylation rates were determined based on the measured amount of Hg isotope methylated during the incubation time. Demethylation rates were based on the loss of the  $CH_3Hg$  isotope (Hintelmann and Evans, 1997; Hollweg et al., 2009).

We used the data to further develop a model for the partitioning of Hg between the sediments and porewater (Hollweg et al., 2012). This model is being used here to examine the factors controlling Hg methylation in sediments and the speciation and flux of  $CH_3Hg$  from

sediments to the water column, as done for the Chesapeake Bay (Hollweg et al., 2010).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Sedimentary HgT concentrations ranged across many orders of magnitude, increasing in concentration from the pristine, sandy sediments of Wells (WME), to industrially contaminated areas like Portsmouth (PNH) and the Hackensack River (HNJ) (Table 1). Total Hg (HgT) and CH<sub>3</sub>Hg were correlated across all the ecosystems, and overall the %CH<sub>3</sub>Hg (fraction of HgT as CH<sub>3</sub>Hg) was within a similar range across sites. The contaminated sites had comparable %CH<sub>3</sub>Hg even though these sites also had high %LOI, and this appears to counter some literature that has shown a decrease in methylation rate with increasing sediment organic content (e.g. Hammerschmidt and Fitzgerald, 2004; Ogrinc et al., 2007). Elevated methylation potentials were found in the pristine (low organic matter) sites, as found by others (e.g. Hollweg et al., 2009) but high methylation potentials and rates were also measured at organic matter rich sites, which were often highly contaminated (Schartup et al., 2012). To examine these relationships further, we normalized the sediment Hg to carbon (pHg/C = -log[Hg]/[C]). Values of pHg/C ranged over orders of magnitude, from 5 to 7.5, and were highest in the more pristine environments. It appears for these higher pHg/C sites that methylation rate does decrease with decreasing pHg/C but at an intermediate value  $(pHg/C \sim 6.5)$  the methylation rates begin to increase and the methylation potential for the more contaminated (low pHg/C) sites is equivalent to that of the pristine sites. Thus, we suggest that high organic content sites are not always sites with low methylation potential. One likely explanation for the observed trends is that at the highly contaminated sites, partitioning is not controlled by organic carbon alone. We found that in these high NOM locations that reduced sulfur content was also high and we suggest that elevated inorganic sulfide phases and dissolved sulfide may be competing with NOM, and is the primary binding phase for Hg at high NOM.

The partitioning results support this idea. The relationship between sediment organic matter and binding capacity (distribution coefficient [K<sub>d</sub>]) is linear below 6% LOI, but not above this value. The relationship between K<sub>d</sub> and total sediment sulfur is also linear at low concentrations but at high sulfur content, the relationship appears to reach an asymptote. This suggests that there is a higher fraction of the Hg in the porewater than would be expected at these high NOM, high sulfur sites. Overall, this is sufficient to drive the higher methylation potentials. Modeling suggests that only a small fraction of the organic matter is responsible for mercury binding at these sites (Hollweg et al., 2012; Skyllberg, 2008) and that this results in the decoupling between LOI and K<sub>d</sub>. The lack of a uniform methylation-organic matter relationship suggests that other site-specific factors need to be considered in studies of Hg methylation.

Site	HgT	MeHg	%MeHg	%LOI
	nmol/g	pmol/g		
WME	< 0.05	0.03-0.7	0.2-1.3	0.7-3.1
PNH	1.3-3.8	2.8-7.1	0.2-0.3	6.4-11.2
LIS	0.2-1.3	1.4-8.7	0.2-0.7	2.8-11.4
HNJ	1.9-7.5	10-17	0.2-0.5	3.8-8.1
CBY	0.1-0.9	1-7	0.3-1	2.0-10
DEL	1.5-4	3-5	0.1-0.3	-

**Table 1.** Bulk sediment characteristics for the varioussampling locations: Wells (WME), Portsmouth (PNH),Long Island Sound (LIS), Hackensack (HNJ),Chesapeake Bay (CBY) and Delaware River (DEL).

## Acknowledgements

This research was completed with funding from the National Science Foundation, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (Grant # P42 ES007373) and the Delaware River Basin Commission/Dept of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. Much of the work was completed as part of the PhD thesis of Amina Schartup. We thank current and former students and post-docs in the Mason and Chen labs for support in sample collection and analysis, and in data interpretation.

## References

- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) 2010. The State of the World's Fisheries and Aquaculture 2010. Rome
- Fitzgerald WF, Clarkson TW. Mercury and monomethylmercury: present and future concerns. Environ. Health Perspect 1991; 96, 159–166.
- Hammerschmidt CR, Fitzgerald WF. Methyl- mercury cycling in sediments on the continental shelf of southern New England. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 2006; 70: 918–930.
- Hintelmann H, Evans RD. Application of stable isotopes in environmental tracer studies - Measurement of

monomethylmercury (CH3Hg + ) by isotope dilution ICP-MS and detection of species transformation. Fres J Anal Chem 1997; 358: 378-385

- Hollweg TA, Gilmour CC, Mason RP. Methyl- mercury production in sediments of Chesapeake Bay and the mid-Atlantic continental margin. Mar Chem 2009;114: 86-101.
- Hollweg TA, Gilmour CC, Mason RP. 2010. Mercury and methylmercury cycling in sediments of the mid-Atlantic continental shelf and slope. Limnol. Oceanogr 2010; 55: 2703–2722.
- Hollweg TA, Gilmour CC, Mason RP. Biogeo- chemical controls ovedr mercury cycling in mid-Atlantic coastal sediments. JGR Biogeosciences 2012; submitted.
- Karagas MR, Choi A, Oken E, Horvat M, Schoeny R, Kamai E, Cowell W, Grandjean P, Korrick S. Evidence on the human health effects of low level methylmercury exposure. Environ Health Perspect 2012; doi:10.1289/ ehp1104494.
- Mason RP, Choi AL, Fitzgerald WF, Hammerschmidt CR, Lamborg CH, Soerensen AL, Sunderland EM. Mercury biogeochemical cycling in the ocean and policy implications. Environ Res 2012; in press.
- Ogrinc N, Monperrus M, Kotnik J, Fajon V, Vidimova K, Amouroux D, Kocman D, Tessier E, Zizek S, Horvat M. Distribution of mercury and methylmercury in deep sea surficial sediments of the Mediterranean Sea. Mar Chem 2007; 107: 31.
- Schartup AT, Mason RP, Balcom PH, Hollweg TA, Chen CY. Examination of the factors controlling methylmercury production in pristine and anthropogenically contaminated sediments. Environ Sci Technol 2012; submitted.
- Skyllberg U. Competition among thiols and inorganic sulfides and polysulfides for Hg and MeHg in wetland soils and sediments under suboxic conditions: Illumination of controversies and implications for MeHg net production. JGR-Biogeosciences 113.